1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 5 6 Case No. 2:13-cv-0655-JCM-CWH RANDOLPH L. MOORE, 7 Petitioner, 8 **ORDER** v. 9 WILLIAM GITTERE, et al., 10 Respondents. 11 12 13 In this capital habeas corpus action, the petitioner, Randolph L. Moore, was due to file a 14 second amended habeas petition by April 8, 2018. See Order entered February 5, 2019 (ECF 15 No. 51). 16 On April 8, 2019, Moore filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 52), requesting a 17 60-day extension of time, to June 7, 2019, to file his second amended petition. Moore's counsel 18 states that the extension of time is necessary because of his obligations in other cases. The 19 respondents do not oppose the motion for extension of time. 20 The Court finds that Moore's motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not 21 solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time requested. 22 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Petitioner's motion for extension of time (ECF No. 23 52) is **GRANTED**. Petitioner will have until and including **June 7, 2019**, to file his second 24 amended habeas petition. 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings set forth in the order entered February 5, 2019 (ECF No. 51) will remain in effect. DATED THIS 18th day of April, 2019. Cellus C. Mahan JAMES C. MAHAN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE